

for years. Not since I began the 'Lungremed' has my rest been disturbed. * * * I was a sufferer of Asthma and was advised to try 'Lungremed.' It acted like a charm. After taking my first bottle I was entirely relieved of smothering spells. I have taken four bottles and never have even a symptom of Asthma. * * * I had throat and bronchial trouble so badly that I coughed all the time. I could speak only in a whisper. * * * I tried your 'Lungremed' and commenced to improve at once. I soon got my voice back to normal and after taking several bottles I am entirely well. * * * I was sick all the time. I coughed every breath; I was thin and pale and had no appetite. * * * A friend * * * told me of your 'Lungremed' and after taking seven bottles the doctors pronounced me well. * * * everyone who suffers from Lung trouble might know of this wonderful medicine. * * * few months ago after being X rayed it was found he had a spot on one of his lungs, * * * We were told * * * of your wonderful medicine * * * At the time he started to take this medicine he weighed 96 pounds and in six weeks time he weighed 108 pounds. * * * after an X-ray examination we were surprised to have the Doctor pronounce him cured. * * * what a blessing and God-send your medicine is to this terrible disease. * * * I suffered from Asthma and was in bed most of the time. * * * A friend advised me to take your 'Lungremed,' * * * After taking two bottles of this wonderful medicine I can say that I am completely cured. * * * I was an invalid and my doctor pronounced my case tuberculosis. I grew worse all the time * * * I heard about * * * 'Lungremed' * * * After taking three bottles, my cough stopped, I had gained 15 pounds and I was pronounced cured. * * * I am still entirely well. * * * I have been a sufferer of Asthma for about thirty years * * * A lady * * * told me about Dr. W. D. Stokes' 'Lungremed.' * * * my friend * * * ordered two bottles of 'Lungremed.' I commenced taking it regularly. * * * By the time I took the third bottle I did not feel the Asthma any more and now I am so thankful that I can go to church at night and enjoy myself. * * * I suffered from Asthma. I could not sleep for coughing and smothering. I tried your Asthma medicine and after taking two bottles I was completely cured. * * * I am now well * * * one suffering with Asthma not to give up until they have tried this wonderful medicine. * * * I was an invalid * * * They all said I had tuberculosis. I had several severe hemorrhages from the lungs and was so weak I could scarcely walk. * * * A friend asked me to try your 'Lungremed,' which I did. To my surprise I started improving right away. At the time I started taking this medicine I weighed only 90 pounds and after taking several bottles I weighed 125 pounds; feel fine * * * I was laid up for eight weeks with a very bad case of Bronchitis. Six weeks of this time I was forced to spend the night in a chair as I almost choked to death when I tried to lie down in bed. * * * Mr. L. U. Babin * * * sent me a bottle of 'Lungremed.' I started taking at once and it acted like a charm. One bottle put me on my feet and all my friends were surprised at my speedy recovery. I highly recommend 'Lungremed' to anyone suffering from any disease of the lungs, throat or bronchial tubes. Your medicine is a God-send to suffering humanity," were false and fraudulent in that the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed, and in that the said statements were applied to the article knowingly and in reckless and wanton disregard of their truth or falsity, so as to falsely and fraudulently represent to purchasers and create in the minds of such purchasers the impression and belief that the article was in whole or in part composed of or contained ingredients or medicinal agents effective in diseases, affections, and conditions therein named and referred to for treatment.

On April 2, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

16357. Misbranding of Iophene. U. S. v. 47½ Dozen Bottles, et al., of Iophene. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23525. I. S. No. 0883. S. No. 1605.)

On March 19, 1929, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and

condemnation of 17½ dozen small bottles and 5¾ dozen large bottles of Iophene remaining in the original unbroken packages at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Mayer Bros. Drug Co., St. Louis, Mo., in consignments, on or about December 29, 1928, and January 12 and January 18, 1929, respectively, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Louisiana, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of small amounts of phenol, iodides, and menthol, and water.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements appearing on the labeling were false and misleading, "A solution of the * * * antiseptics. * * * Iodine," since the product contained no free iodine, and "A powerful prophylactic against the infection of influenza and allied diseases, valuable in maintaining antiseptics of the nose, mouth, and pharynx, which is so important to prevent complications. * * * To be used undiluted as a spray or gargle three times a day to prevent infection." Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements, appearing on the labeling, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article, "A powerful prophylactic against the infection of influenza and allied diseases, valuable in maintaining antiseptics of the nose, mouth, and pharynx, which is so important to prevent complications. * * * To be used undiluted as a spray or gargle three times a day to prevent infection," were false and fraudulent in that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed, and in that the said statements were applied to the article knowingly and in reckless and wanton disregard of their truth or falsity, so as to represent falsely and fraudulently to purchasers thereof and create in the minds of such purchasers the impression and belief that it was in whole or in part composed of or contained ingredients or medicinal agents effective in the treatment of disease or the prevention thereof.

On April 24, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

16358. Misbranding of Warren's Wonder Workers. U. S. v. 9 Dozen Retail Cartons of Warren's Wonder Workers. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23570. I. S. No. 07794. S. No. 1824.)

On April 2, 1929, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 9 dozen retail cartons of Warren's Wonder Workers, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped by S. Pfeiffer Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo., February 19, 1929, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Washington, arriving at Seattle on or about February 24, 1929, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it contained acetanilide (1.7 grains per capsule), quinine, sodium and potassium salts, bromides, and aloe.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statement on the carton, "Contains no quinine," was false and misleading. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article, (carton) "Treatment of * * * Coughs, LaGrippe, Influenza * * * use them to prevent * * * Influenza * * * to relieve * * * an attack of LaGrippe," (circular) "Good for * * * Neuralgia," were false and fraudulent.

On April 25, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*